How to Cope with Foreign Language Speaking Anxiety Effectively? The Case of University Students in China

Deyuan He

(deyuan.he@ubd.edu.bn)
Universiti Brunei Darussalam, Brunei

Abstract

China is well known for its very large number of English learners, but most of them have suffered from foreign language speaking anxiety (FLSA) when expressing themselves orally in the language. FLSA has been scarcely addressed in the educational settings of China, especially in terms of the practical strategies for reducing students' FLSA. In light of this gap, an investigation into the coping strategies for FLSA was conducted by drawing comprehensive data from 302 university students and 30 teachers of English at two universities in different parts of China. Questionnaire surveys and focused interviews were adopted to collect data and explore perceptions concerning FLSA. With these two cross-validated research methods, 32 strategies for reducing students' FLSA were identified. These strategies were verified to be effective after being applied for a period of four months by the participants. The results of the study showed that persistent application of such strategies is of great significance in alleviating students' FLSA and hence making their learning more enjoyable. The results and findings were also discussed in relation to those from previous research in the field. Furthermore, the strategies verified in this study would provide important pedagogic implications for foreign language education involving English.

1   Introduction

As an international language, English has been promoted in China for more than four decades, and it has become a required subject from Primary 3 (8-9 years old) till post-graduate level for more than one decade. Against this background, English speakers in China have increased dramatically over the years (He, 2015; He & Zhang, 2010). Although the exact number of such speakers is unavailable, the magnitude of English learners in China may be a good indication. Statistics show that there were more than 190.57 million students receiving formal classroom English instruction in the country in 2014 (Ministry of Education, 2015).

Given the importance attached to English, the learning of the language, however, can be an anxiety-provoking experience (Onwuegbuzie, Bailey, & Daley, 1999). In reality, Chinese students' overall learning effectiveness in English courses is less-than-satisfactory, especially in terms of their spoken English (He & Miller, 2011; Huang & Shao, 1998). There are many reasons for the gap between the quantity of instruction and the results achieved, and foreign language speaking anxiety (FLSA) is believed to be a prominent reason (He, 2013). Therefore, the investigation of FLSA is of significance for the purpose of improving Chinese students' EFL learning effectiveness, especially for non-English majors, who usually have insufficient opportunities to speak the target language in their everyday life. By focusing on Chinese non-English-major students, this study endeavours to

identify and verify the effective strategies that help reduce their FLSA, hence making their learning more relaxing and enjoyable.

2   Studies on anxiety and language learning

Issues concerning anxiety in language learning have been widely discussed for more than fifty years (e.g. Alpert & Haber, 1960; Dewaele, 2013; Horwitz, Horwitz, & Cope, 1986). Anxiety is defined as the "subjective feeling of tension, apprehension, nervousness, and worry associated with an arousal of the autonomic nervous system" (Spielberger, 1983, p. 15). Foreign language anxiety (FLA) is fear or apprehension that occurs when a learner is expected to perform in a second or foreign language (Gardner & MacIntyre, 1993). Horwitz et al. (1986) concluded that FLA frequently shows up in listening and speaking activities, testing situations, overstudying, certain beliefs (for instance, that everything that will be said in a foreign language should be correct), and so on.

A number of recent studies have quantified the effects of FLA on foreign language learning (e.g. Al-Khasawneh, 2016; Dewaele, 2013; Liu & Jackson, 2008). For example, Dewaele (2013) investigated nearly 1,600 multi-linguals from all over the world and suggested that how and when a language was learnt determines its future use and speaking anxiety. Other aspects like present use of the language and the total number of languages known also play an important role in how multi-linguals feel about their languages and use these languages to communicate both positive and negative emotions. Liu and Jackson (2008) surveyed 547 Year-1 non-English majors in China and found that: 1) most of them were willing to have interpersonal talks in English but not in class; 2) over one third of them demonstrated FLA in their English class; 3) their unwillingness to communicate (UTC) and their FLA significantly positively correlated with each other; and 4) their UTC and FLA both negatively correlated with their self-perceived English proficiency.

A new tendency in FLA study at the turn of the century is that foreign language learners may experience different levels of anxiety depending on different language skills, and hence there are studies on subsets of FLA: 1) listening anxiety (e.g. Elkhafaifi, 2005; Golchi, 2012; Kim, 2000); 2) speaking anxiety (e.g. Çagatay, 2015; Debreli, Kucuk, & Demirkan, 2016; Suleimenova, 2013); 3) reading anxiety (e.g. Nazarinasab, Nemati, & Mortahan, 2014; Saito, Horwitz, & Garza, 1999; Subasi, 2014); 4) writing anxiety (e.g., Cheng, Horwitz, & Schallert, 1999; Liu & Ni, 2015; Wu & Gu, 2011); and 5) translation anxiety (e.g. Chiang, 2006; Kang, 2011, 2012). Such a tendency resonates with Young's (1990) suggestion that FLA may be best examined by considering different language skills since FLA appears to be a form of anxiety not only specific to foreign language learning, but also specific to the language skills to be acquired.

In terms of research methods in FLA studies, multiple approaches including quantitative (e.g. questionnaire surveys, measuring physical signs) and qualitative (e.g. interaction observations, interviews) methods have been employed in existing literature. Among these methods, self-reported questionnaire is widely accepted as an appropriate means to examine anxiety in learners (e.g. Čepon, 2016; Elkhafaifi, 2005; Horwitz et al., 1986).

3   Studies on strategies for FLSA

Many studies have explored the reasons resulting in adult learners' FLA in general (e.g. Alrabai, 2014; Čepon, 2016; He, 2013; Shabani, 2012). Nevertheless, studies concerning coping strategies for FLA, especially FLSA, are insufficient. The few notable ones will be reviewed in this section. Coping strategies in the present study refer to the strategies applied by both learners and teachers to reduce learner's FLSA. Lucas (1984) mentioned two steps to manage students' FLSA in Japan. Her first step, to create a warm and easy-going classroom atmosphere, includes the following methods: to provide students with relaxation exercises (e.g. rhythmic breathing); to help students become familiar with one another at the outset of a course; to introduce students to social formulae and dialogues; to teach students how to get out of trouble or embarrassing situations; and to teach students to use gambits (e.g. really? Uh-huh) appropriately. Her second step is to involve students in

various classroom activities so that students can practise more in the target language. However, like most of the other studies on coping strategies for FLSA, hers did not mention whether these methods or strategies have been verified to be effective or not.

Another study based on empirical data from 244 students learning Spanish in the USA found that teacher characteristics such as "a non-harsh attitude towards error correction" and "a positive, friendly and relaxed general attitude towards students" can help alleviate students' FLSA (Young, 1990, p. 551). Through her interviews with four language specialists, Young (1992) identified 16 strategies coping with FLSA, including having students work in pairs or small groups, not forcing students to speak before they are ready, and not putting anyone on the spot.

Kondo and Yang (2004) found 70 tactics for coping with language anxiety based on their study about 219 students learning English as a foreign language (EFL) in Japan, which were cohered into five categories: preparation, relaxation, positive thinking, peer thinking, and resignation (i.e. students doing nothing to reduce their FLA, e.g. giving up, sleeping in class). Nonetheless, it should not be ignored that resignation is not an active coping strategy and hence of no pedagogic value.

Liu (2007, p. 132) listed ten reasons of non-English majors' FLSA in China, but her participants "seemed to be at a loss" when asked about the strategies for coping with their FLSA. Only a couple of them suggested that students should have more practice and build up self-confidence, and teachers should try to provide a "friendly, supportive and non-threatening classroom-learning environment." However, Liu's (2007) study was based on a sample with only 27 students.

Hashemi and Abbasi (2013) reviewed and summarised previous studies and listed twenty coping strategies for EFL instructors and learners, including, to name a few, instructors acknowledging the existence of anxiety among learners, instructors using formative assessment more to decrease learners' worry about classroom performance and grades, learners using positive self-talk, and learners encouraging themselves to take risks in EFL learning.

Among the studies focusing on strategies, Alrabai (2015) is the only one that tested the effectiveness of the strategies in EFL classes. He proposed and tested seven strategies for teachers to implement, including demonstrating appropriate teaching behaviour to students, reducing students' communication apprehension, lowering students' fear of negative evaluation, among others. Each strategy involves specific practices and techniques that facilitate teachers' implementation of the strategy. However, the participants in his study are of varied EFL proficiency levels in the Saudi Arabian context, which may lead to doubts whether the same strategies can be applicable to learners from other cultural contexts or societies such as China's.

4   Research objectives

From the studies mentioned above, it can be concluded that there are fewer empirical studies focusing on the coping strategies for FLSA than the ones on the reasons leading to FLSA, and few of the existing ones (e.g. Alrabai, 2015) tested whether the strategies they reported were effective or not except. In addition, some of these strategies may be inappropriate to EFL students in China. The paucity of empirical research into FLSA of university students in China also makes it more significant to make such an attempt. Specifically, this article aims to:
- explore the strategies for coping with Chinese university students' FLSA; and
- examine the effectiveness of these strategies.

5   Method

5.1   Participants

302 students and 30 teachers from two universities in China took part in the questionnaire survey, and 30 students and three teachers among them were also interviewed. In order to make the sample more representative, the author endeavoured to involve teachers and students from one key university in south-central China and one second-tier university in eastern China, both taking students

from all over the country. Non-English majors and their English teachers were selected as participants, because non-English majors constitute the majority of English speakers in China and their views would be typical in the issues addressed in this research. The universities and participants were informed clearly of the purpose and procedures of this study and their consent was sought for the implementation of the study. As detailed in Section 5.2, half of the participants would adopt the FLSA coping strategies to verify the effectiveness, while the other half would not, but all the participants agreed with the different teaching arrangements and learning methods to help carry out the study.

All the students were native Chinese, aged 17 to 23 (M = 19.9). Of these students, 168 (55.6%) were male and 134 (44.4%) female. They were from four disciplines: Engineering (156, 51.7%); Business (77, 25.5%); Arts (36, 11.9%); and Science (33, 10.9%).

As another stakeholder providing independent perspectives, teachers (native Chinese too) were also included in the research. Among them, 11 (36.7%) were male and 19 (63.3%) female. They were aged between 23 and 50 (M = 36.4), and their English teaching experience ranged from two months to 27 years (M = 12.6 years). With regard to academic rank, four (13.3%) of them were instructors, 16 (53.3%) lecturers, eight (26.7%) associate professors, and two (6.7%) professors.

5.2   Application and verification of the strategies

The study comprised two stages. In the first stage, the main data collection was carried out, including surveys with two questionnaires and focused interviews. Questionnaire I (see Appendix 1) from He (2013) was used to test students' FLSA level and Questionnaire II (see Table 1) was to identify the strategies for coping with FLSA. On the basis of a preliminary data analysis, some strategies that were believed to be helpful in reducing students' FLSA were identified.

The second stage of the study was undertaken two months later. First, 60 of the questionnaire participants with high level of anxiety were selected judging from their scores for Questionnaire I (i.e. those scoring above 47, the cut-off point of the one-third of students obtaining high anxiety scores among the 302 students). These 60 participants were from four classes at the two universities (two classes from each). Half of them (N = 30) were set as the experimental groups (one group of 15 from each class at each university) while the other half were used as control groups. Bearing in mind that this study should minimise interference for the usual teaching, these four groups were from four natural intact classes, respectively. The author selected four classes with more than 50 students each and as these students' Questionnaire I scores were average or above, it was not difficult to find 15 students with high anxiety scores in each of the four classes. Secondly, students in the experimental groups were instructed in the specific strategies and asked to apply them in their daily English learning under their teacher's guidance; and their teacher applied the related strategies as well. Meanwhile, both the teachers and students in the experimental groups were provided with a full list of the 32 strategies identified in Stage I (see Appendix 2), against which they were able to check every 15 days or so whether they had used these strategies systematically and to remind themselves to use the strategies persistently over the four months in Stage II. These 32 strategies fell into three categories: 17 directed at teachers, 5 at both teachers and students, and 10 at students. Then, four months later, the 60 students were asked to take the same survey again with Questionnaire I, and six of them and two teachers were interviewed so as to examine the effectiveness of the strategies.

5.3   Instruments and analyses

This research adopted the use of both questionnaire surveys and focused interviews to cross-validate the data sources. Another purpose of the interviews was to seek new possible strategies for FLSA in addition to the data from Questionnaire II.

Both of the questionnaires used five-point Likert scales, which were developed to examine students' FLSA level and to identify the strategies for coping with their FLSA, respectively. These new affective instruments were constructed according to the guidelines of DeVellis (1991) and Gable and Wolf (1993).

Firstly, a thorough review of the previous literature on FLA was performed. Secondly, three university students were pilot-interviewed and they provided FLSA coping strategies they once applied in their English learning. Thirdly, the statements derived from the first two steps were refined. The questionnaires were then piloted with 30 students and 3 of their English teachers at a Chinese university. The pilot data yielded Cronbach's alpha coefficients of .86 and .88 for Questionnaires I and II respectively, which indicated that the instruments were robust and reliable.

Focused interviews were used in both Stage I and Stage II. In Stage I, 15 male and 15 female student questionnaire participants and three teachers were interviewed, and six students and two teachers were interviewed in Stage II. The interviews were conducted in Chinese, either in groups (for students) or individually (for teachers). The interview data were coded using the qualitative data analysis software, MaxQDA.

6 Results and findings

6.1 Stage I – questionnaire survey

Questionnaire I was designed to examine students' FLSA level, which included 13 five-point Likert scale items; so theoretically their anxiety scores should range from 13 to 65 with 39 as the median. The mean score ($M = 45.76$, $SD = 4.08$) for Questionnaire I indicated that, overall, these students had a comparatively high level of FLSA.

In regard to the results of Questionnaire II, which contains 12 items pertaining to FLSA coping strategies, both students and their English teachers supported all the items. Table 1 displays their support in terms of response frequencies and means. In other words, all 12 strategies were believed by the participants to be useful in reducing FLSA.

Table 1. Response frequencies and means of the items of Questionnaire II (N = 332)

Items	SD[a] (%[b])	D (%)	N (%)	A (%)	SA (%)	Means[c]
1. Having classmates work in small groups helps reduce my nervousness when speaking a FL.	0.6	9.0	19.6	64.5	6.4	3.67
2. It helps reduce my nervousness to talk with other students about the fears in speaking a FL.	0.6	12.3	30.1	51.9	5.1	3.48
3. Participating in a supporting group or activity (e.g. a FL corner) helps reduce my fears in speaking that language.	0.9	9.0	29.8	52.1	8.2	3.57
4. Doing relaxation exercises (e.g. productive self-talk) helps reduce my fears in speaking that language.	0.3	5.1	27.4	60.2	6.9	3.69
5. If accuracy is not the focus, I will not be so nervous about speaking a FL.	0.6	4.2	17.5	70.8	7.0	3.79
6. I do not feel so anxious when speaking a FL in a friendly environment.	0.6	4.2	10.9	72.0	12.4	3.92
7. Teachers' encouragement makes me feel relaxed when speaking a FL.	0.0	4.6	20.8	64.2	10.5	3.80
8. A humourous teacher helps reduce my nervousness in speaking a FL.	0.0	2.7	7.5	72.9	16.8	4.04
9. A patient teacher helps reduce my nervousness in speaking a FL.	0.0	5.1	10.9	67.5	16.6	3.95
10. I feel relaxed about speaking a FL if I know that mistakes are part of the language learning process and made by everyone.	0.6	7.5	20.8	60.0	11.2	3.74
11. I feel relieved about speaking a FL if my teacher corrects my mistakes indirectly (e.g. just repeat the right form instead of saying that I am wrong).	0.0	7.2	19.6	64.5	8.8	3.74
12. Playing language games helps reduce my nervousness in speaking a FL.	0.6	7.5	22.3	59.1	10.5	3.72

Notes: a. SD: strongly disagree; D: disagree; N: neither disagree nor agree; A: agree; SA: strongly agree.
          b. The percentages have been rounded to one digit after the decimal point.
          c. The means have been rounded to two digits after the decimal point.

6.2   Stage I – focused interview

The findings of the interviews are generally consistent with those of the questionnaire data. When asked what strategies may help reduce anxiety in speaking a FL, students reported the following (arranged in decreasing order of mentions):

- Strategies concerning FL teachers' personal characteristics and behaviours;
- Strategies concerning error correction;
- Creating relaxed or friendly atmosphere;
- Trying to use a FL more;
- Reducing the fear in making mistakes;
- Trying to remind oneself to relax;
- Preparing well;
- Using more body language;
- Overcoming the reasons leading to anxiety;
- Being brave to speak a FL;
- Trying to use simple words; and
- Watching some real life TV/Web programmes in a FL.

The first two strategies cover a good many subsets, which will be explained separately later. Most of these strategies, except the last one, were mentioned by more than one student. The following are two examples given by student informants.

> I agree, in a relaxed environment, we won't worry about making mistakes, and the teachers also encourage us to speak English. (Example 1)

> I tried to get myself less anxious at speaking English by making myself more familiar with this language, for example, speak English whenever possible, sometimes even think aloud in English, and watch a lot of English movies. (Example 2)

Like the students, the teachers also emphasised the importance of creating a friendly or relaxed atmosphere for students to speak a FL, and they added other two strategies they used in their teaching practices: arrange some games in English and provide examples before oral tasks. Below is the response from one of the teachers:

> I try to arrange some games in English in classroom that may help them to relax. I also provide them with some examples before an oral task so that they can learn to finish the task by imitating. (Example 3)

6.2.1   Strategies concerning FL teachers' personal characteristics and behaviours

FL instructors' personalities are another important factor which helps reduce students' FLSA (Young, 1992). Student responses revealed that FL teachers with the following personal characteristics and behaviours are helpful in coping with students' FLSA (in decreasing order of mentions):

- Friendly;
- Humourous;
- Knowledgeable;
- Good at enhancing students' interest in a FL;
- Good at employing different teaching strategies;
- Patient;
- Lively;
- Good at creating conducive learning environment;

- Modern (instead of being reserved);
- Outgoing;
- Versatile;
- Sensitive to cultural differences;
- Excluding students' classroom performance from final assessment; and
- Learning some teaching styles from native-speaking English teachers.

Among the 14 features, the first eight were mentioned by more than half of the students, especially the first two, emphasised by nearly all the students. Below is one typical citation:

> English teachers should be humourous and be equipped with broad knowledge, such as knowledge about sports, entertainments, and arts. (Example 4)

The teachers repeated three features in the above list (i.e. friendly, humourous, patient), and they added a new one (i.e. easy-going).

6.2.2   Strategies concerning error correction

It is believed that the way of error correction is closely related to students' FLSA (Gregersen, 2003). So the interviewees were asked specifically about the way they preferred their errors to be corrected (for students) or the way they preferred to correct their students' errors (for teachers). When the students were asked whether they would correct students' oral English errors if they were teachers, more than half (19) of them said they would not do so unless the errors caused problems of intelligibility (see Example 5). They believed it was either discouraging to students or impractical to correct all the errors students made. Nonetheless, there were still six students insisting that teachers should correct students' errors (see Example 6) and five students did not answer this question.

> I would not correct them. Instead, I would introduce them some good websites that can help those who want to correct their mistakes. Besides, I think it is impractical for the teacher to correct students' mistakes one by one. (Example 5)

> I would, I believe this will help improve his/her English. (Example 6)

When it is necessary to correct errors, most of the students (22) would prefer to correct them indirectly if they were teachers (see Example 7), only seven of them chose to correct errors directly (see Example 8):

> I think teachers should pay attention to the way of error correction. If I were a teacher, I would just repeat the right expressions. In this way, I think they will realise that what they said is not correct. (Example 7)

> After they finish speaking, I would praise them first, and then I would tell what is wrong and then tell the right one, which may give them stronger impression of the error and help them avoid it next time. (Example 8)

Concerning the appropriate time for error correction – in or after class, students were somewhat equally distributed in their responses: nine of them thought that it depended on different situations, for instance, size of the class and number of errors (see Example 9); while eight and seven of them argued for "after class" (see Example 10) and "in class" (see Example 11) respectively; and six offered no response. The reasons for correcting errors "after class" include protecting students' self-esteem, saving teaching time, and keeping the fluidity of classroom teaching, among others. The reasons for "in class" are, to name a few, that students still have fresh impression of their errors, that students might get uneasy being corrected individually after class, and that teachers may forget about the errors after class.

> It depends. If it is a small class, I may correct it after class privately. If it is a big class, then correcting it in a polite and acceptable way may also help other students who may make the same mistake. (Example 9)

> I will do so after class, since I think this is good for their confidence. (Example 10)

> I think it will be better to correct it in class, since it will make me more nervous if I am corrected individually after class. Besides, I may forget what kind of mistakes I made in class, and so the effect of the error correction might be reduced. (Example 11)

To the above three questions – whether, how, and when – concerning error correction, the teachers, unlike the students interviewed, responded that they usually did not correct their students' errors; if it was really necessary to do so, they preferred the indirect way by just repeating the right answers; and they argued that the right time for error correction was dependent on factors like the features of the errors (e.g. common or not), availability of instruction time, the personality of individual students (e.g. self-conscious or not). Below is an example:

> Generally, I do not correct their mistakes unless it is a quite serious and common one. When I did correct their mistakes, I usually just repeated the right. Besides, if the mistakes are quite common, I usually correct them in classroom, or else, I prefer to correct them after class. (Example 12)

Stage I of the study yielded 32 FLSA coping strategies (see Appendix 2), including all the strategies as shown in Table 1 and those elicited from the interviewees. These strategies were applied and verified in Stage II.

6.3   Stage II – application and verification of the strategies

6.3.1   Selection of participants for Stage II

As mentioned earlier, in order to apply and verify the effectiveness of the strategies identified in Stage I, 60 students (31 females and 29 males) with a high level of FLSA were selected and were invited to take part in Stage II of the study. These 60 students were those with anxiety scores above 47, which is the cut-off point of the one-third of students who obtained high anxiety scores among the 302 student participants for Questionnaire I. In each university, the participants were divided equally into two groups – the experimental group in one class and the control group in another. The effectiveness of the strategies was assessed by comparing the questionnaire scores obtained from the two groups across the two stages.

Before applying the strategies, the author contacted (via phone calls and emails) two of the English teachers who helped with data collection in Stage I at the two universities. Each of them was teaching two classes of the same school year. The pre-selected experimental groups were from one class and the control groups from the other. These two teachers were provided with detailed explanations of the 32 strategies. Strategies that might cause doubts and confusions were fully discussed between the two teachers and the author. The teachers were then asked to explain the strategies to students in the experimental classes and guide them to use the strategies in daily English learning. The teachers themselves were also encouraged to apply the strategies directed to teachers in the experimental classes. For example, it was suggested to the teachers to pay attention to when and how to correct students' spoken errors, and to adopt appropriate error correction strategies consciously.

In the following four months, the author stayed in constant contact though emails, and phone and Skype conversations with the two teachers (at least once a week) and the students in the experimental groups (once a week with different students) to make sure that they were really using the strategies introduced and that their questions arising from applying the strategies were well addressed. In order to track the progress of the strategy application more accurately, the checklists of the strategies, as mentioned in the Method Section, were collected from the teachers and students in the experimental classes and they demonstrated these participants' systematic and persistent application of the strategies. Moreover, the author observed each of the experimental classes twice and found that the teachers and students applied the strategies efficiently and persistently. For instance, both teachers took the initiative to organise as many language activities as possible in small groups, and the students confirmed after class that their teacher organised more group discussions recently, which they believed was helpful in alleviating their FLSA. Meanwhile, the control classes were taught as usual without applying any of the strategies consciously. The author took logs with details of strategy application while observing the experimental classes. Appendix 3 presents one of the logs taken during a 50-minute class on Doing Business Online. The class consisted of 56 students. The teacher used ten of the coping strategies as underlined in Appendix 3.

The 60 students were invited to answer Questionnaire I again after applying the strategies for four months. In addition, six students from the experimental groups and their teachers were interviewed for more qualitative data.

6.3.2 Participants' anxiety score differences across two stages

MANOVA was used to compare the participants' mean differences before and after applying the strategies. The hypothesis behind the verification of the strategies in Stage II is that successful application of these strategies by students and teachers in the experimental groups will lower students' FLSA level and then make the reasons included in Questionnaire I less prominent in contributing to students' FLSA. In other words, the experimental groups' mean scores on Questionnaire I in Stage II would decrease (preferably significantly), while those of the control groups would remain more or less the same.

Table 2 shows that the participants in the experimental groups demonstrated a significantly decreasing tendency in anxiety scores. Specifically, 26 participants displayed decreased scores on Questionnaire I with 18 being statistically significant, while only participants displayed increased scores, although none was found to be significant, and one mean remained the same across stages. Meanwhile, in the control groups, only one participant showed a significant decrease in Stage II, two remained the same and one increased remarkably, and the scores for the other 26 either increased or decreased without statistical significance.

Table 2. Student participants' FLSA mean differences across two stages

Participant No.	Stage I / Stage II		Participant No.	Stage I / Stage II	
Experimental Group			*Control Group*		
1	3.86/2.24**	↓	138	3.75/3.37	↓
18	4.18/2.89**	↓	139	3.69/3.69	---
20	3.81/2.71*	↓	152	4.15/3.90	↓
37	3.81/2.94	↓	154	3.97/3.64	↓
68	3.73/2.37**	↓	155	3.76/3.87	↑
69	3.71/2.92	↓	166	3.82/3.58	↓
70	3.64/2.81	↓	170	3.65/3.36	↓
77	3.83/2.77*	↓	177	3.69/2.71*	↓
81	3.79/2.59*	↓	182	3.75/3.44	↓
84	3.63/2.61*	↓	184	3.65/3.65	---
88	3.63/3.63	---	185	3.71/3.35	↓
91	3.78/2.59*	↓	187	3.77/3.32	↓
96	3.67/2.65*	↓	189	3.79/3.40	↓
97	3.65/3.94	↑	191	3.73/3.54	↓
100	3.79/3.82	↑	193	3.73/4.85**	↑
106	3.69/2.53*	↓	194	3.68/3.99	↑
112	3.79/2.92	↓	197	3.69/3.40	↓
113	3.69/2.41*	↓	198	3.69/3.52	↓
120	3.79/2.98	↓	207	3.77/3.98	↑
121	3.69/3.71	↑	208	3.65/3.36	↓
130	3.75/2.72*	↓	211	4.03/4.25	↑
224	3.67/3.06	↓	277	3.65/3.35	↓
230	3.75/2.68*	↓	278	3.71/3.48	↓
246	3.65/2.94	↓	280	3.65/4.00	↑
247	3.97/2.53**	↓	294	3.71/3.41	↓
249	3.76/2.94*	↓	295	3.63/3.44	↓
250	3.67/2.82	↓	296	3.69/3.49	↓
251	4.05/3.10*	↓	298	3.67/3.54	↓
262	3.75/2.94*	↓	301	3.88/3.65	↓
269	3.67/2.71*	↓	302	3.66/3.27	↓

Notes: ** $p < .01$, * $p < .05$; the means have been rounded to two digits after the decimal point.

6.3.3 Interview responses in Stage II

Six students from the experimental groups (three males and three females randomly selected) and two teachers were interviewed in Stage II. As shown by the results of Questionnaire I across two stages, five of

the student interviewees demonstrated significantly lower anxiety scores in Stage II than in Stage I, while the last one showed no remarkable change. All the interviewees were asked about their perceptions of the effectiveness of the strategies.

The interview findings were consistent with the quantitative results. Seven of the eight interviewees believed that the strategies they applied in English learning or teaching were effective in reducing their (or their students') FLSA (as shown by Examples 13 and 14). Only the one who demonstrated no significant change in anxiety scores in Stage II reported that she found these strategies might not be useful to her, and she attributed this to her anxious personality (see Example 15).

> On the whole, I think the strategies you told me were quite effective, I feel I become less anxious while speaking English now, both in and out of classroom. Thank you, I think I will keep on trying them. (Example 13)

> I used to be quite anxious when speaking English, but after applying the strategies you told us in the past four months, I am glad to see I am no longer that nervous now. Especially after I realized that mistakes are quite common among language learners, I often reminded myself of this point in English learning, it really helps. (Example 14)

> I tried the strategies, and I think I tried hard with them. However, I am sorry to say that these strategies seemed to be not useful to me. I often find, although I have been told these strategies, and I tried to use them, I am still anxious while speaking English with others. I guess, I might be born to be nervous. (Example 15)

Both the quantitative results and the qualitative findings as reported in this section indicate that the strategies identified in Stage I are basically effective in reducing students' FLSA. Overall, the participants in the experimental groups demonstrated significantly lower FLSA levels than their counterparts in the control groups after applying the coping strategies for a period of four months. The present study suggests that with long term utilisation of effective strategies, students are very likely to be able to cope with their FLSA efficiently, which will help form a conducive environment for them to enjoy FL learning.

7   Discussion

The goal of this study was to suggest some effective strategies to alleviate students' FLSA. Through a combination of qualitative and quantitative methods, 32 such strategies were identified (see Appendix 2). Although they cannot solve the issue of FLSA once and for all, the emphasis is on the persistent application of the verified strategies by EFL learners and teachers in China. Among these strategies, 12 were derived from the questionnaire survey, the other 20 from interviews. Actually, Strategies 1, 2, 3, 4, 18, 21, and 23 were mentioned in both questionnaire and interview data. In other words, the data from the two sources cross-validated each other. It is worth noting that some of the strategies are centred on EFL teachers' characteristics and behaviours. Teachers are supposed to play a key role in alleviating students' FLSA, since teachers are believed to be a key player in students' FLA (Alrabai, 2014; Shabani, 2012). For example, EFL teachers' beliefs about language teaching(e.g. that every error should be corrected) and the teaching methods they employ (e.g. teachers provide little chance for students to talk in class) are all among the major reasons leading to students' FLA.

With regard to EFL teachers' personal characteristics, being humourous was found to be a very effective strategy coping with students' FLSA according to the findings in the present study. Humour is important in foreign language teaching for at least two reasons. Firstly, students are potentially exposed to a relatively high level of anxiety when learning another language (MacIntyre & Gardner, 1989); while humour (especially teacher's humour) can help reduce students' anxiety and stimulate their desire to participate in speaking activities by creating a relaxing classroom atmosphere (Wagner & Urios-Aparisi, 2008). Such a low affective filter is conducive to high motivation and self-confidence in FL learning (Krashen, 1982). Secondly, humour can facilitate the acquisition of the content ideas of the target language and culture by providing an "instrument for cultural and social transmission" (Alexander, 1997, p. 7) and increasing "retention of the input" (Ziyaeemehr, Kumar, & Abdullah, 2011, p. 116). The more content ideas they get to know about the target language and culture, the better they will communicate in the target language, and the less FLSA they will experience. This might imply that teachers as well as students themselves should enhance their

awareness of making good use of humour in daily FL teaching and learning in order to relieve students' FLSA.

Another effective strategy in reducing students' FLSA is to have a patient teacher in the classroom. Teachers' patience is acknowledged to be an important personal quality when coping with students' anxiety (Alrabai, 2015). It is unavoidable for students to make mistakes when they are struggling to express themselves in a language not their own. Hence, teachers should be tolerant to students' minor errors that do not affect the communication process, which will release pressure and strengthen students' self-confidence. FL teachers should also show patience to students' silence in the classroom. Studies (e.g. Du, 2009) have proven that there is little use pushing or forcing students to say something when they are unwilling or not ready to say it; on the contrary, it can only make them more anxious. Therefore, giving students enough "think time" is necessary.

Similar to Alshehri (2012) and Young (1990), the present study also found that having students work in pairs or small groups help reduce their FLSA, since they tend to feel safe in groups and are usually more eager to participate in speaking activities, and group work enhances cooperation among students as well. Teachers should try to form groups with mixed-ability students, to challenge groups with academically equal tasks, and to use the same questioning strategies for all groups so that the learners would not feel that they are treated differently with respect to their foreign language proficiency (Alderman, 2008).

It is argued that a stressful classroom atmosphere works as an affective filter preventing easy acquisition and restricting learners from taking in and processing incoming information (Krashen, 1985). On the contrary, a friendly and supportive learning environment is acknowledged to be able to lower learners' anxiety (Alrabai, 2014). What is found in this study supports these arguments. The present data also suggest that a friendly classroom environment requires the effort of both the teacher and students. The teacher should be friendly and try to create a low-anxiety classroom, and students should also be friendly to one another and try not to exert unnecessary peer pressure on others. In such an environment, students would feel encouraged to take part in oral activities without constantly worrying about being negatively evaluated.

8 Conclusion

This study has found a comparatively high level of FLSA among Chinese university students, which is a very important reason for their less-than-satisfactory EFL learning achievements. Therefore, it is of significance to investigate strategies that can help reduce their FLSA. However, most of them have seldom thought about how to reduce anxiety and many of the teachers are not equipped with an awareness or understanding of FLSA and its coping strategies. A possible reason is that FLSA has not been much studied or discussed and has not attracted much attention from EFL teachers and learners alike in China.

In spite of the fact that English Teaching Methods is suggested as an elective for English majors by the Ministry of Education in China, only a few universities offer such a course (He, 2011). However, a number of these English majors will be English teachers in China after graduation. Even for the universities that offer such a course, none of the nine textbooks and reference books they are using includes content on how to deal with students' FLA, especially FLSA. Consequently, the 32 effective strategies reported and verified in this study contribute greatly to FLSA research as well as EFL teaching and learning in China considering that Chinese students are experiencing a comparatively high level of FLSA.

Previous studies on FLSA provided insights mainly from students' perspective. The present study has offered insights from both teachers' and students' perspectives and will contribute to a growing literature on FLSA. The insights and beliefs from both parties will generate valuable pedagogic implications for Chinese students' English learning, especially non-English majors' oral English acquisition. Both teachers and students should enhance their awareness and mastery of the strategies concerning FLSA management. To achieve this, systematic training programmes and tutorial workshops on FLSA should be developed and carried out in an on-going process. Hopefully, the findings of the present study can provide EFL teachers and students with a baseline for their remedial action.

Practically, the strategies identified may be applied to not only EFL students in mainland China but also Chinese students learning EFL/ESL in Hong Kong, Macao, Taiwan, and Singapore, since they share the same native language and similar learning cultures. With the strategies provided by this study as important

references, prospective and in-service EFL/ESL teachers in these regions/countries will be able to ease their students' FLSA, and students themselves can learn oral English free from unnecessary affective barriers.

Despite the relatively robust findings, the results of this study should be interpreted with some caution because of the following limitations. Firstly, it would be more meaningful to explore the influence of students' FLSA on their foreign language learning by examining the correlation between their FLSA level and their oral foreign language proficiency. Unfortunately, no official oral foreign language scores of the students were available. Secondly, because of limited time and financial support, the author himself was unable to supervise and monitor the participants' application of the strategies in Stage II of the study. It was practically impossible to teach both classes by himself as the two universities were far apart. Nonetheless, as mentioned in Section 6.3.1, the author tried every means to ensure that the teachers and students in the experimental groups actually used the strategies introduced to them. If the author were able to monitor the whole application process or to devise a four-month FLSA strategy teaching plan along with teachers' original teaching plan, more substantial evidence could possibly be obtained for verifying the effectiveness of the strategies. Thirdly, the author tried not to intervene the participants' usual English teaching. Thus, he selected the 60 participants in Stage II from four natural classes, which meant some variables were not considered, for example, their discipline, which might be a variable influencing the effectiveness of the strategies applied.

References

Alderman, M. K. (2008). *Motivation for achievement: Possibilities for teaching and learning* (3rd ed). New York: Routledge.

Alexander, R. J. (1997). *Aspects of verbal humour in English*. Tübingen: Gunter Narr Verlag.

Al-Khasawneh, F. M. (2016). Investigating foreign language learning anxiety: A case of Saudi undergraduate EFL learners. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies, 12*(1), 137–148.

Alpert, R., & Haber, R. N. (1960). Anxiety in academic achievement situations. *Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 61*(2), 207–215.

Alrabai, F. (2014). A model of foreign language anxiety in the Saudi EFL context. *English Language Teaching, 7*(7), 82–101.

Alrabai, F. (2015). The influence of teachers' anxiety-reducing strategies on learners' foreign language anxiety. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 9*(2), 163–190.

Alshehri E. (2012). *Saudi EFL teachers' and students' perceptions of motivational strategies*. Paper presented at the Lancaster University Postgraduate Conference in Linguistics and Language Teaching 2012, Lancaster, United Kingdom. Retrieved from http://www.lancaster.ac.uk/fass/events/laelpgconference/papers/v07/Eman.pdf

Çagatay, S. (2015). Examining EFL students' foreign language speaking anxiety: The case at a Turkish state university. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 199* (2015), 648–656

Čepon, S. (2016). Teachers' and students' perspectives on the reasons for speaking anxiety in English for specific purposes. *Journal of English for Specific Purposes at Tertiary Level, 4*(2), 184–201.

Cheng, Y.-s., Horwitz, E. K., & Schallert, D. L. (1999). Language anxiety: Differentiating writing and speaking components. *Language Learning, 49*(3), 417–446.

Chiang, Y.-n. (2006). *Connecting two anxiety constructs: An interdisciplinary study of foreign language anxiety and interpretation anxiety* (Unpublished PhD thesis). University of Texas at Austin, United States.

Debreli, E., Kucuk, F., & Demirkan, S. (2016). Sources and levels of foreign language speaking anxiety of English as a foreign language university students with regard to language proficiency and gender. *International Journal of English Language Education, 4*(1), 49–62.

DeVellis, R. F. (1991). *Scale development: Theory and applications*. CA: Sage.

Dewaele, J-M. (2013). *Emotions in multiple languages* (2nd ed). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Du, X. (2009). The affective filter in second language teaching. *Asian Social Science, 5*(8), 162–165.

Elkhafaifi, H. (2005). Listening comprehension and anxiety in the Arabic language classroom. *The Modern Language Journal, 89*(2), 206–220.

Gable, R. K., & Wolf, M. B. (1993). *Instrument development in the affective domain: Measuring attitudes and values in corporate and school settings*. Boston: Kluwer-Nijhoff.

Gardner, R. C., & MacIntyre, P. D. (1993). On the measurement of affective variables in second language learning. *Language Learning, 43*(2), 157–194.

Golchi, M. M. (2012). Listening anxiety and its relationship with listening strategy use and listening comprehension among Iranian IELTS learners. *International Journal of English Linguistics, 2*(4), 115–128.

Gregersen, T. S. (2003). To err is human: A reminder to teachers of language-anxious students. *Foreign Language Annals, 36*(1), 25–32.

Hashemi, M., & Abbasi, M. (2013). The role of the teacher in alleviating anxiety in language classes. *International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences, 4*(3), 640–646.

He, D. (2011). *Foreign language speaking anxiety: An investigation of non-English majors in mainland China* (Unpublished PhD dissertation). City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China.

He, D. (2013). What makes learners anxious while speaking English: A comparative study of the perceptions held by university students and teachers in China. *Educational Studies, 39*(3), 338–350.

He, D. (2015). University students' and teachers' perceptions of China English and World Englishes: Language attitudes and pedagogic implications. *Asian Journal of Applied Linguistics, 2*(2), 65–76.

He, D, & Miller, L. (2011). English teacher preference: The case of China's non-English major students. *World Englishes, 30*(3), 428–443.

He, D, & Zhang, Q. (2010). Native speaker norms and China English: From the perspective of learners and teachers in China. *TESOL Quarterly, 44*(4), 769–789.

Horwitz, E. K., Horwitz, M. B., & Cope, J. (1986). Foreign language classroom anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal, 70*(2), 125–132.

Huang, G., & Shao, Y. (1998). Daxue yingyu jiaoxue gaige de chulu [The way out: College English teaching reform]. *Waiyujie [Foreign Language World], 72*, 20–22.

Kang, Z. (2011). Kouyi jiaolv de dongyin, jidu jiqi yingxiang [The causations, the degrees and the impacts of interpreting anxiety]. *Waiyu Yanjiu [Foreign Languages Research], 4*, 81–85.

Kang, Z. (2012). Kouyi jiaolv dui jiaoti chuanyi de xiaoying yu yingxiang [The influence of interpreting anxiety on consecutive interpreting]. *Zhongguo Keji Fanyi [Chinese Science & Technology Translators Journal], 25*(1), 19–21+18.

Kim, J.-h. (2000). *Foreign language listening anxiety: A study of Korean students learning English* (Unpublished PhD). University of Texas at Austin, United States.

Kondo, D. S., & Yang, Y.-L. (2004). Strategies for coping with language anxiety: The case of students of English in Japan. *ELT Journal, 58*(3), 258–265.

Krashen, S. D. (1982). *Principles and practice in second language acquisition*. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The input hypothesis: Issues and implications*. London: Longman.

Liu, M. (2007). Anxiety in oral English classrooms: A case study in China. *Indonesian Journal of English Language Teaching, 3*(1), 119–137.

Liu, M., & Jackson, J. (2008). An exploration of Chinese EFL learners' unwillingness to communicate and foreign language anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal, 92*(1), 71–86.

Liu, M., & Ni, H. (2015). Chinese university EFL learners' foreign language writing anxiety: Pattern, effect and causes. *English Language Teaching, 8*(3), 46–58.

Lucas, J. (1984). Communication apprehension in the ESL classroom: Getting our students to talk. *Foreign Language Annals, 17*(6), 593–598.

MacIntyre, P. D., & Gardner, R. C. (1989). Anxiety and second-language learning: Towards a theoretical clarification. *Language Learning, 39*(2), 251–275.

Ministry of Education. (2015). *Educational statistics in 2014*. Retrieved from http://www.moe.gov.cn/s78/A03/moe_560/jytjsj_2014/2014_qg/201509/t20150902_205106.html

Nazarinasab1, F., Nemati, A., & Mortahan, M. M. (2014). The impact of foreign language reading anxiety and text feature awareness on university students' reading comprehension ESP texts. *International Journal of Language and Linguistics, 2*(6-1), 1–8.

Onwuegbuzie, A. J., Bailey, P., & Daley, C. E. (1999). Factors associated with foreign language anxiety. *Applied Psycholinguistics, 20*(2), 217–239.

Saito, Y., Horwitz, E. K., & Garza, T. J. (1999). Foreign language reading anxiety. *The Modern Language Journal, 83*(2), 202–218.

Shabani, M. B. (2012). Levels and sources of language anxiety and fear of negative evaluation among Iranian EFL learners. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 2*(11), 2378–2383.

Spielberger, C. D. (1983). *Manual for the state-trait anxiety inventory (Form Y)*. Palo Alto, CA: Consulting Psychologists Press.

Subasi, G. (2014). Foreign language reading anxiety: Does it really exist? *Educational Research and Reviews, 9*(24), 1360–1371.

Suleimenova, Z. (2013). Speaking anxiety in a foreign language classroom in Kazakhstan. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences 93* (2013), 1860–1868.

Wagner, M., & Urios-Aparisi, E. (2008). Pragmatics of humour in the foreign language classroom: Learning (with) humour. In M. Putz & J. N.-v. Aertselaer (Eds.), *Developing contrastive pragmatics: Interlanguage and cross-cultural perspectives* (pp. 209–228). New York: Mouton de Gruyter.

Wu, Y., & Gu, W. (2011). Hezuo xuexi jiangdi feiyingyu zhuanye daxuesheng yingyu xiezuo jiaolv de shizheng yanjiu [An empirical study on cooperative learning decreasing non-English majors' English writing anxiety]. *Waiyu yu Waiyu Jiaoxue [Foreign Languages and Their Teaching]*, *6*, 51–55.

Young, D. J. (1990). An investigation of students' perspectives on anxiety and speaking. *Foreign Language Annals, 23*(6), 539–553.

Young, D. J. (1992). Language anxiety from the foreign language specialist's perspective: Interviews with Krashen, Omaggio Hadley, Terrell, and Rardin. *Foreign Language Annals, 25*(2), 157–172.

Ziyaeemehr, A., Kumar, V., & Abdullah, M. S. F. (2011). Use and non-use of humour in academic ESL classrooms. *English Language Teaching, 4*(3), 111–119.

Appendices

Appendix 1

Questionnaire 1

Please circle the option that best matches your feeling about each statement. There are no right or wrong options, all depending on your first reaction. The options stand for:
SD = strongly disagree, D = disagree, N = neither agree nor disagree, A = agree, SA = strongly agree.

1. I feel embarrassed to speak English because I think I have poor pronunciation and intonation.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
2. I am often worried that if I cannot speak English well I will not get a decent job in future.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
3. I feel that not knowing enough vocabulary is the biggest problem preventing me from speaking a foreign language easily.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
4. I become anxious when I get stuck on one or two words in speaking a foreign language.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
5. I feel more nervous when having to give important information orally in a foreign language.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
6. I would not be so anxious just to learn to read and write in a foreign language rather than having to learn to
      speak as well.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
7. I do not mind thinking aloud in a foreign language, but I feel very uncomfortable when I have to speak to others in it.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
8. I am nervous if I have to speak a foreign language when I am not familiar with the topic.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
9. When speaking a foreign language, I often know all the words I need but still fail to express myself easily due to nervousness.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
10. I feel nervous when having to be tested orally in a foreign language.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
11. I get worried when I have little time to think about what I have to speak in a foreign language.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
12. I get anxious when I find I cannot speak in the foreign language fluently.
       SD   D   N   A   SA
13. Others will look down on me if I make mistakes in speaking a foreign language.
       SD   D   N   A   SA

Appendix 2

The list of the strategies identified in stage I:

Strategies directed to teachers
1.   Teacher trying to be humourous;
2.   Teacher trying to be patient;
3.   Teacher trying to be encouraging;
4.   Correcting mistakes indirectly;
5.   Having classmates work in small groups;
6.   Providing examples before oral tasks;
7.   Teacher trying to be knowledgeable;
8.   Good at enhancing students' interest in a FL;
9.   Good at employing different teaching strategies;
10. Teacher trying to be lively;
11. Good at creating conducive learning environment;
12. Teacher trying to be modern (instead of being reserved);
13. Teacher trying to be outgoing;
14. Teacher trying to be versatile;
15. Excluding students' classroom performance from final assessment;
16. Learning some teaching styles from native-speaking English teachers;
17. Teacher trying to be easy-going;

Strategies directed to both teachers & students
18. Speaking a FL in a friendly environment;
19. Not focusing on accuracy while speaking a FL;
20. Playing language games;
21. Doing relaxation exercises;
22. Being sensitive to cultural differences;

Strategies directed to students
23. Knowing that mistakes are part of the FL learning process and made by everyone;
24. Participating in a supporting group or activity;
25. Talking with other students about the fears of speaking a FL;
26. Trying to use a FL more;
27. Preparing well before FL classes;
28. Using more body language to help express oneself;
29. Overcoming the reasons leading to anxiety;
30. Being brave to speak a FL;
31. Trying to use simple words while speaking a FL;
32. Watching some real life TV/Web programmes in a FL.

Please check your application of the strategies against the above list about every 15 days by ticking (√) the appropriate boxes in the table below.

For teachers

Strategy No.	1st check	2nd check	3rd check	4th check	5th check	6th check	7th check	8th check
1								
⋮								
22								

For students

Strategy No.	1st check	2nd check	3rd check	4th check	5th check	6th check	7th check	8th check
18								
⋮								
32								

Appendix 3

One classroom observation log for the application of the anxiety coping strategies

Minutes	Strategies applied	The effects of the strategy
1	The teacher began the class with a <u>joke</u> related to the topic of the lecture.	The students laughed and seemed to be[a] relaxed because of the joke.
4-20	Students <u>discussed the task assigned (online shopping experience) in groups</u> of 4-5 and then one student from 6 of the groups reported to the class.	The students seemed to be well-prepared when reporting their discussion. Their familiarity with this topic made their speeches fluid and vivid.
10	One student said "he like buying food online". The teacher did <u>not correct the student directly</u>, instead, she repeated "Good. He likes buying food, right? Anything else?".	The student realised the error and repeated the sentence correctly and went on with his speech.
16	One student seemed to be blocked when she tried to say the word "procrastinate". The teacher spelled the word on the board and said "We can also <u>say it simply</u> here, like 'keep delaying'".	The student nodded and said "Yes. I mean delay". Then she went on saying more about her experience.
21-30	The teacher explained "nominalisation" and its usage to students with the following as one of the <u>examples</u>: "The sentence *he succeeded in online games, and this brought him money and fame* can be rephrased as *his success in online games brought him money and fame*".	Then several students learnt to paraphrase sentences with "failure", "disappearance", and "communication" instead of "fail", "disappear", and "communicate" respectively.
28-30	One student had some trouble while making a sentence with "communicate" and then rewording it with "communication", but the teacher showed her <u>patience</u> and <u>joked</u> "Don't worry. This is not a test, and you <u>won't be given a mark for it</u>".	The student smiled and tried again, and finally got both sentences correct.
31-50	In the following 20 minutes, the class learnt a short passage together. The teacher demonstrated her <u>knowledge</u> and her <u>outgoing</u> and <u>lively</u> characteristics.	The students seemed to be impressed and attracted by the teacher and also to be at ease with the learning.

a. All the "seemed to be" were confirmed to be true in the talks with students after class.